



IPW

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Christian Petersen

Examiner: Russell Kobert

Serial No.: 10/675886

Group Art Unit: 2858

Filed: September 30, 2003

Docket No.: 00900.0302-US-C1

Title: MULTI-POINT PROBE

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described herein, are being deposited in the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on March 7, 2005.

Brenda Jurgens
Name

Brenda Jurgens
Signature

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

- ☒ Amendment and Response to Office Action
- ☒ Transmittal Sheet
- ☒ Return postcard

Authorization is hereby given to charge any additional fees or credit any overpayments that may be deemed necessary to Deposit Account Number 50-1038.

Respectfully submitted,

Altera Law Group, LLC
Customer No. 22865

Date: March 7, 2005 By:

Michael B. Lasky
Michael B. Lasky
Reg. No. 29,555
MBL/blj



Serial No. 10/675886

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Christian Petersen Examiner: Russell Kobert
Serial No.: 10/675886 Group Art Unit: 2858
Filed: September 30, 2003 Docket No.: 00900.0302-US-C1
Title: MULTI-POINT PROBE

CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and the paper, as described herein, are being deposited in the United States Postal Service, as first class mail, with sufficient postage, in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on
March 7, 2005

Brenda Jurgens
Name

Signature

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT: Provisional Election with Traverse

Mail Stop Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement dated February 7, 2005, Applicants hereby provisionally elects Group I, (claims 65-130) with traverse. Further the Applicants provisionally elect sub species A, drawn, at least, to claims 65 and dependencies. The traversal is based on the fact that searching of both groups and certainly all species is substantially the same and does not demand unreasonable use of PTO resources, and that the applicant did pay the required claim fees for such PTO services. This is even more appropriate with respect to the unnecessary election of sub species. It is respectfully requested that the restriction requirement be withdrawn.

Claim amendment begins on page 2 of this document.